1	DOUGLAS T. SLOAN, City Attorney
2	CITY OF FRESNO By: Francine M. Kanne, Chief Assistant City Attorney (#139028)
3	2600 Fresno Street, Room 2031
4	Fresno, California 93721-3602 Telephone: (559) 621-7500
5	Facsimile: (559) 488-1084
6	Attorneys for the CITY OF FRESNO
7	
8	
9	RE:
10	DECORDS DECARDING) RESPONSE TO PUBLIC RECORDS
11	BODY WORN CAMERAS ACT REQUEST FOR DOCUMENTS (Govt. Code §6250, et seq.)
12	}
13	}
14	
15	The City of Fresno (City) responds to a request pursuant to the California Public Records
16	Act (Gov. Code §6250, et seq.) by the Aaron Swartz Day Police Surveillance Project, regarding
17	information for the period of January 1, 2015 to the date of this request pertaining to body
18	worn cameras, as follows:
19	Request No. 1 - Number, if any, of body worn cameras owned by this department or
20	agency, including the manufacturer and whether a data storage package has been provided
21	by the manufacturer: The City objects to this inquiry on grounds the request seeks information
22	and does not seek production of an existing public record. (Gov. Code § 6253(b).) Under the
23	California Public Records Act, the City is not required to respond to inquiries that seek only
24	information. Without waiving this objection, the City produces potentially responsive documents
25	attached as Exhibit A.
26	
27	
,,	

Request No. 2 – Any documents or correspondence during the period encompassing this request regarding possible or planned acquisition of body warn cameras: The City objects to and will not produce records responsive to this request on the grounds it seeks disclosure of predecisional documents or drafts. (Gov. Code § 6254(k).) Please note the City is still searching for potentially responsive email records for this request. If potentially responsive email records exist, the City will provide a supplemental response.

Request No. 3 – Any existing or proposed usage policies regarding the use of body worn cameras including protocols, training documents, data storage procedures and prohibited activities: The City objects to this request to the extent it seeks disclosure of predecisional documents or drafts. (Gov. Code § 6254(k).) Without waiving this objection, the City produces potentially responsive documents attached as Exhibit B.

Request No. 4 – Any current or past litigation involving or referencing this department or agency involving the use of body worn cameras: The City objects to this inquiry on grounds the request seeks information and does not seek production of an existing public record. (Gov. Code § 6253(b).) Under the California Public Records Act, the City is not required to respond to inquiries that seek only information. Without waiving this objection, the City did not locate any potentially responsive documents for this request.

DATED: January 22, 2019.

Respectfully submitted,

DOUGLAS T. SLOAN

City Attorney

By:

FRANCINE M. KANNE

Chief Assistant City Attorney

Attorneys for CITY OF FRESNO

FMK:rm[rm/fmk]

PROOF OF SERVICE

CCP §§ 1011, 1013, 1013a, 2015.5 FRCP 5(b)

2

1

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

(State)

27

28

CITY ATTORNEY CITY HALL FRESNO, CA 93721 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF FRESNO

I am employed in the County of Fresno, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 2600 Fresno Street, Fresno, CA 93721-3602.

On January 2,2019, I served the document described as RESPONSE TO PUBLIC **RECORDS ACT REQUEST FOR DOCUMENTS** on the interested parties in this action \square by placing the true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as stated on the attached mailing list: ■ by placing □ the original ■ a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as follows:

Aaron Swartz Day Police Surveillance Project MuckRock News **DEPT MR 66603** 411A Highland Ave Somerville, MA 02144-2516 Email: 66603-39953365@requests.muckrock.com

□ BY MAIL ☐ I deposited such envelope in the mail at Fresno, California. The envelope was mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid.

> ☐ As follows: I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Fresno, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

☐ (BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I caused such envelope to be hand delivered.

☐ (BY FAX) I caused the above-referenced document to be transmitted by fax to the addressee(s) at the fax number(s) shown.

☐ (BY OVERNIGHT COURIER) I caused the above-referenced envelope(s) to be delivered to an overnight courier service for delivery to the addressee(s).

■ (BY ELECTRONIC MAIL) I caused the above-referenced document to be transmitted by electronic mail (e-mail) to the addressee(s) at the e-mail(s) shown.

Executed on January 7,2019, at Fresno, California.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.